

## BONUS PROBLEM WORTH 15 HOMEWORK POINTS

In homework #8, there is a formula for the gradient of the dot product between two vectors:

$$\vec{\nabla}(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{w}) = (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{w} + (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{y} \quad (1)$$

However, if you look up the gradient of a dot product in a math handbook such as the CRC, you will find the following *horrendous* formula:

$$\vec{\nabla}(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{w} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \mathbf{y} + (\mathbf{y} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{y} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{w}), \quad (2)$$

In eq (2), the notation  $(\mathbf{w} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \mathbf{y}$  means the same thing as  $\mathbf{w} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{y})$ . In other words, the  $i$ th component of the first term on the right-hand-side of eq (2) is  $w_k \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} v_i \right) = w_k v_{i,k}$ .

This is not the same as of the first term on the right-hand-side of eq (1), for which the  $i$ th component is  $\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} v_k \right) w_k = w_k v_{k,i}$ .

(a) Use indicial notation to prove that Eqs. (1) and (2) are *both* correct. Which of these equations would *you* rather use in your applications?

(b) In this class, our proofs of formulas like this have employed indicial notation with respect to a rectangular CARTESIAN basis. The last step in our indicial derivations is to express the final result in direct notation. Explain why this process yields a direct notation formula that is valid for ANY basis (even nonorthonormal curvilinear).

(c) Consider cylindrical coordinates. Then, for example,  $\mathbf{y} = v_r \mathbf{e}_r + v_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta + v_z \mathbf{e}_z$ , where the components are all functions of  $(r, \theta, z)$ .

(i) GRADS: Explain why  $\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{w} = v_r w_r + v_\theta w_\theta + v_z w_z$ , despite the fact that this is a curvilinear coordinate system.

(ii) EVERYONE: Because the coordinates are curvilinear, the formulas for the gradient take on a different form. The radial component of the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (1) is  $(\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{y})_{rr} w_r + (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{y})_{r\theta} w_{r\theta} + (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{y})_{rz} w_z$ , where the  $rr, r\theta, rz$  components of  $\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{y}$  are obtained from the formulas provided in the book for the gradient of vector in cylindrical coordinates [Beware: when the book says  $\nabla \mathbf{y}$ , it means what we call  $\mathbf{y} \cdot \vec{\nabla} = (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{y})^T$ . Thus, you must use the transpose of the book's formulas if you really want  $\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{y}$ ] Using the book's formulas, write out an explicit expression for the radial component of the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (1) if  $v_r = r\theta$ ,  $v_\theta = \theta z r^2$ ,  $v_z = r^4 \sin \theta$ ,  $w_r = r$ ,  $w_\theta = z\theta$ , and  $w_z = 0$ .