

BONUS PROBLEM WORTH 15 HOMEWORK POINTS

In homework #8, there is a formula for the gradient of the dot product between two vectors:

$$\vec{\nabla}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) = (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} + (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (1)$$

However, if you look up the gradient of a dot product in a math handbook such as the CRC, you will find the following *horrendous* formula:

$$\vec{\nabla}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{w} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \mathbf{w}), \quad (2)$$

In eq (2), the notation $(\mathbf{w} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \mathbf{v}$ means the same thing as $\mathbf{w} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{v})$. In other words, the i th component of the first term on the right-hand-side of eq (2) is $w_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} v_i \right) = w_k v_{i,k}$.

This is not the same as of the first term on the right-hand-side of eq (1), for which the i th component is $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} v_k \right) w_k = w_k v_{k,i}$.

(a) Use indicial notation to prove that Eqs. (1) and (2) are *both* correct. Which of these equations would *you* rather use in your applications?

(b) In this class, our proofs of formulas like this have employed indicial notation with respect to a rectangular CARTESIAN basis. The last step in our indicial derivations is to express the final result in direct notation. Explain why this process yields a direct notation formula that is valid for ANY basis (even nonorthonormal curvilinear).

(c) Consider cylindrical coordinates. Then, for example, $\mathbf{v} = v_r \mathbf{e}_r + v_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta + v_z \mathbf{e}_z$, where the components are all functions of (r, θ, z) .

(i) GRADS: Explain why $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = v_r w_r + v_\theta w_\theta + v_z w_z$, despite the fact that this is a curvilinear coordinate system.

(ii) EVERYONE: Because the coordinates are curvilinear, the formulas for the gradient take on a different form. The radial component of the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (1) is $(\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{v})_{rr} w_r + (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{v})_{r\theta} w_{r\theta} + (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{v})_{rz} w_z$, where the $rr, r\theta, rz$ components of $\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{v}$ are obtained from the formulas provided in the book for the gradient of vector in cylindrical coordinates [Beware: when the book says $\nabla \mathbf{v}$, it means what we call $\mathbf{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} = (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{v})^T$. Thus, you must use the transpose of the book's formulas if you really want $\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{v}$] Using the book's formulas, write out an explicit expression for the radial component of the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (1) if $v_r = r\theta$, $v_\theta = \theta z r^2$, $v_z = r^4 \sin \theta$, $w_r = r$, $w_\theta = z\theta$, and $w_z = 0$.